ar			
Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/041,786	GILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William J. Klimowicz	2652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERI herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATE of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR	TS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in DL-85) or other appropriate commu INT RIGHTS. This application is so	this application. If not in nication will be mailed in	cluded due course THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to the Amendment	t filed October 12, 2004.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-10, 12-24, renumbered	as daims 1-14, 18-23 and 15-17,	respectivel <u>y</u> .	
3. The drawings filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> are accepted	by the Examiner.		
4.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received  ATE" of this communication to file DONMENT of this application.  submitted. Note the attached EXAlth gives reason(s) why the oath or ') must be submitted.  tsperson's Patent Drawing Review in in the header according to 37 CFF deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	in No in this national stage application are reply complying with the MINER'S AMENDMENT declaration is deficient.  ( PTO-948) attached in the Office action of the Allanda in the front (not 1.121(d).	e requirements or NOTICE OF
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application	(PTO-152)

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No./Mail Date

of Biological Material

2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit

3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),

5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

8. 

Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

6. Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date <u>12-14-04</u>.

9. Other \_\_\_\_.

7. ☑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment

Art Unit: 2652

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Dominic Kotab on December 14, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

- (I) With regard to claim 1 (line 16) (the last line of the claim), after the phrase "width of the magnetic head" the following has been inserted --, wherein the width of the shields at the first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk define the track width of the magnetic head--.
- (II) Claim 11 has been cancelled in its entirety.
- (III) With regard to claim 16 (line 16) (the last line of the claim), after the phrase "width of the magnetic head" the following has been inserted --, wherein the width of the shields at the first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk define the track width of the sensor--.
- (IV) With regard to claim 16 (line 16), the phrase "magnetic head" has been changed to the word --sensor--.
- (V) With regard to claim 18 (line 15) (the last line of the claim), after the phrase "width of the magnetic head" the following has been inserted --, wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/041,786

Art Unit: 2652

Page 3

width of the shields at the first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk define the track width of the magnetic head--

- (VI) With regard to claim 19 (line 17), the word "magnetic" has been deleted.
- (VII) With regard to claim 19 (line 17), after the phrase "width of the magnetic head;" (i.e., prior to the deletion of the word "magnetic") the following has been inserted -- wherein the width of the shields at the first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk define the track width of the head--
- (VIII) With regard to claim 19 (line 18), the word "read" has been deleted.
- (IX) With regard to claim 19 (line 19), the word "read" has been deleted.
- (X) With regard to claim 19 (line 21), the word "read" has been deleted.
- (XI) With regard to claim 19 (line 22), the word "read" has been deleted.
- (XII) With regard to claim 20 (line 23) (the last line of the claim), after the phrase "width of the magnetic head" the following has been inserted --, wherein the width of the shields at the first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk define the track width of the magnetic head--.

## Reasons for Allowance

The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to fairly, teach, show or suggest, by either anticipating or rendering obvious, the invention as set forth in the claims of the instant application.

Art Unit: 2652

Furthermore, an update of a search previously made does not detect the combined claimed elements as set forth in the pending claims. Additionally, the reasons for allowance of the claims over the prior art of record is believed to be clear from the prosecution history taken as a whole. More particularly, the instant invention (as set forth in independent claims 1, 16, 18, 19 and 20) provides for, inter alia, an extraordinary magnetoresistance (EMR) sensor, inclusive of a first shield and a second shield and positionable over a magnetic recording disk, and an EMR sensor positioned between the first shield and the second shield; wherein a plane in which the EMR sensor is positioned is perpendicular to magnetic flux associated with the magnetic recording disk. A width of the shields at a first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk are less than widths thereof at a second point on the shields distant the magnetic recording disk, whereby the widths are measured in a direction parallel to a track width of the magnetic head. Additionally, the width of the shields at the first point on the shields proximate to the magnetic recording disk define the track width of the head. That is, the widths are confined to a region so as not to exceed or alternatively be less than the width of a data track associated with the magnetic disk, as set forth in claims 1, 16, 18, 19 and 20.

Claims 17 and 21 provide for, *inter alia*, an extraordinary magnetoresistance (EMR) sensor, inclusive of a first shield and a second shield and positionable over a magnetic recording disk, an EMR sensor positioned between the first shield and the second shield; wherein a plane in which the EMR sensor is positioned is perpendicular to magnetic flux associated with the magnetic recording disk. Additionally, the first shield angles towards the second shield at a first point on the first shield proximate to the magnetic recording disk and the second shield does not angle towards the first shield at the first point.

The closet prior art includes Terunuma et al. (US 6,731,474 B2) who discloses an MR sensor (non EMR sensor, however) and a pair of shields (12 and 15, laminated), whereby a point on the shields proximate to an ABS of the head is smaller than a second point further from the ABS. However, the shields do not define the track width of the head since they are wider than the flux data transitions associated with the magnetic media; such transitions being written by a much less wider write pole (48). Additionally, the shields are essentially flat and no one shield is bent or angled toward another shield.

Thus, Terunuma et al. (US 6,731,474 B2) does not disclose an EMR sensor and further does not disclose a shield structure associated with a generalized MR sensor as set forth in the manner, function and relationship relative to other claimed structure as prescribed by the independent claims.

Additionally, Terunuma et al. (US 6,731,474 B2) does not provide, alone or in combination with the art of record or general knowledge within the art, any suggestion or teaching for the invention as set forth in the independent claims.

## Conclusion

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 2652

examiner should be directed to William J. Klimowicz whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30AM-5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William J. Klimowicz

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

WJK